

**From:** Pete Saco <psaco@cifsjs.org>

[ [add to contacts](#) ]

**To:** Christian A. Hendricks <christian@hendricksmail.com>

**Cc:**

**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2010 11:41 am

**Subject:** Re: Recent California Interscholastic Federation (CIF) Actions and Procedure Application

Mr. Hendricks:

It is not the practice of the CIF Sac-Joaquin Section to discuss any sanctions levied against any member school with the media or general public. You have the right to contact any member school and seek answers to any questions that you may have regarding your concerns. This email constitutes the entire response from the CIF Sac-Joaquin Section. Thanks,

--

Pete Saco  
Commissioner  
209-334-5900, ext. 12  
209-334-0300-fax  
psaco@cifsjs.org

*Follow the Sac-Joaquin Section ... <http://twitter.com/cifsjs>*

---

**From:** "Christian A. Hendricks" <christian@hendricksmail.com>

**Date:** Tue, 02 Mar 2010 02:01:02 +0000

**To:** <psaco@cifsjs.org>

**Subject:** Re: Recent California Interscholastic Federation (CIF) Actions and Procedure Application

Pete,

Since I have not received a response to the below email sent to you last week, I thought I'd follow-up to find out whether you received it and whether you intend to respond.

I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Chris

-----Original Message-----

From: Christian A. Hendricks [<mailto:christian@hendricksmail.com>]

Sent: Thu 2/25/2010 12:43 AM

Cc: newstips@kcra.com; kroman@kovr.com; bhighfill@recordnet.com; ishidasan@cifstate.org; ekirchner@kovr.com

Subject: Recent California Interscholastic Federation (CIF) Actions and Procedure Application

Pete,

I noted a recent addition to the CIF Sac-Joaquin Section website indicating Rocklin and Roseville High School have been placed on "Level 1 probation" for the 2009-2010 year for violation of CIF Bylaw 510. My assumption is this is the section's response to the recruiting infractions by coaches at Rocklin and Roseville High Schools evidenced in an e-mail chain CIF included in the Remi Barry case filings. The email includes comments centered on recruiting of basketball players between the following parties:

- Greg Granucci, Varsity basketball coach at Roseville High School
- Nancy Villeaux, Assistant Principal at Roseville High School
- Erin Granucci, Athletic Director at Roseville High School
- Steve Taylor, Varsity basketball coach at Rocklin High School
- Mike Garrison, Principal at Rocklin High School
- Keith Moss, Parent of players at Rocklin and Roseville High Schools

A quick perusal of said email and comments reveals clear and detailed violations of CIF Bylaws. While I applaud CIF for pursuing the noted infractions, I have a few clarifying questions I'd like you to address:

1. What does "Level 1 probation" mean and where is it defined in CIF by-laws?
2. What are the penalties each school received for violating CIF Bylaw 510?
3. Was an appropriate hearing held regarding said violations and, if so,

when?

Pete, I am sure you'll agree fairness and transparency in application of penalties associated with violations of CIF Bylaws is tantamount to the overall success of CIF and its mission. It also assures fairness in play at member schools. I am also sure you can appreciate my questioning and interest in the recent action taken given:

1. The reporting of said infractions to CIF by Roseville and Rocklin was not timely as prescribed by CIF Bylaws (almost two months elapsed before noted coaches, an athletic director, assistant principal and principal alerted CIF).
2. The clear relationship of the violations described in said emails to the Barry case (Granucci actively recruits Barry prior to his enrollment).
3. The severity of the violations described in said email (Multiple CIF violations).

Given the significant amount of press surrounding the Barry case and the relationship the "Level 1 Probation" penalties have to it, I have sent copy of this note to media and related parties. I also stand ready to provide commentary on timing of said events as they relate to this case.

Additionally, to make sure all parties receiving this email are aware of the contents of the email I point to, I have attached a copy. A copy readily available in court filings submitted during Barry's request for a stay of CIF's decision to deny his eligibility to play for Del Oro. Should further clarity or context be needed by any party, I can provide an additional email supplied by Keith Moss offering more information.

Pete, my goal here is to assure CIF is fair and consistent in its application of penalties associated with CIF Bylaw infractions. In order for CIF to continue to be an integral part of "fairness" in high school sports in California, it must be transparent and fair in the eyes of the public.

I am sure this is a goal you and I share.

I look forward to your response

